

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	IVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/451,127	11/30/99	ANSLOW		[476-1859
				EXAMINER	
		MMC2/0314		NORRI	S,J
WILLIAM M LEE JR LEE MANN SMITH MCWILLIAMS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SWEENEY & P O BOX 27	OHLSON			2841 DATE MAILED:	03/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(a)						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summer:	09/451,127	ANSLOW ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jeremy Norris	2841						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	February 2001 .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	nis action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/o								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
Attachment(s) 45) Attachment (DTO 412) Paper No(c)								
15) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 16) ⊠ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) ⊠ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5 18) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 19) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) □ Other:								

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 1, drawn to a printed wiring board, classified in class 174, subclass
 262.
- II. Claims 2-3, drawn to a method of manufacturing a printed wiring board, classified in class 29, subclass 846.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case surface contact pad of the invention of group I could be made by a vapor deposition process as opposed to a plating process as claimed in the invention of group II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2841

During a telephone conversation with Mr. William Lee on 5 March 2001 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claim 1. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 2-3 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2 change "a part" to --apart--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 2841

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lebaschi (US 5,764,485).

Lebaschi discloses, referring to figure 4, a printed wiring board, comprising: a plurality of spaced apart circuit layers (27 & 29), being electrically connected by a blind hole/via (30, which can be a blind hole, see col. 7, lines 28-36) wherein a surface contact pad (31) is formed over the blind hole/via of an electrically conductive metallic layer. Although Lebaschi does not specifically state that the contact pad is formed by depositing over the blind hole/via, it would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to form the pad by depositing the material as this is a well known technique in the art. Furthermore, this is a process limitation in a product claim and cannot serve to patentably define the product over the prior art, see MPEP 2113 and 2173.05(p). Additionally, it is well settled that the presence of process limitations in product claims, which product does not otherwise distinguish over the prior art, cannot impart patentability to that product. *In re Johnson*, 157 USPQ 670 (1968).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following documents disclose pads over via holes:

US 4,641,425 Dubuisson et al.,

Art Unit: 2841

US 5,491,303 Weiss,

US 5,758,413 Chong et al.,

US 5,875,102 Barrow,

US 5,948,533 Gallagher et al..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy Norris whose telephone number is 703-306-5737. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Th., 9AM - 6:30 PM and alt. Fri. 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 703-308-3301. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7724 for regular communications and 703-305-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

JCSN

March 8, 2001

K.CUNEO

PAPENT EXAMINER

3/4/01

Page 5